

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COLUMBIA DIVISION  
Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

CASEY EDWARDS and Justin Williams,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
and MARK SANFORD, in his official  
capacity as Governor of South Carolina,

Defendants.

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL**  
**OF CIVIL ACTION**

TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH  
CAROLINA:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441, and 1446, Defendant Mark Sanford, Governor of South Carolina in his official capacity ("Governor Sanford"), by and through counsel, hereby files this Notice of Removal of this action from the Supreme Court of South Carolina, where this action is now pending in that Court's original jurisdiction, to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, Columbia Division. In support of this Notice of Removal, Governor Sanford shows the Court as follows:

1. On or about May 22, 2009, Plaintiffs Casey Edwards and Justin Williams filed a Petition for Original Jurisdiction in the Supreme Court of South Carolina entitled *Casey Edwards and Justin Williams v. State of South Carolina*. A copy of Plaintiffs' Complaint was attached to the Petition.
2. On May 26, 2009, the South Carolina Supreme Court granted Plaintiffs' Petition and accepted the Complaint into its original jurisdiction.

3. By Order of the South Carolina Supreme Court dated May 27, 2009, Governor Sanford was joined as a party to the state court action.

4. This Notice of Removal is filed with this Court within 30 days after Governor Sanford's receipt of the Complaint, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(a).

5. In the Complaint, Plaintiffs asks the Court to interpret § 1607 of the recently enacted federal stimulus law, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("ARRA"), Pub. L. No. 111-5, 123 Stat. 115 (2009). Specifically, Plaintiff asks for declarations that, *inter alia*, (1) "§ 1607(b) and (c) of the Act [ARRA] permit the South Carolina Legislature, by concurrent resolution, to accept and distribute the ARRA funds where the governor makes the required certification, but fails to accept available funds," and (2) "§ 1607(b) and (c) [of ARRA] allows the South Carolina General Assembly to request and accept any available stimulus funds." (Complaint, pp. 10-11.)

6. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action arises under the laws of the United States. In addition, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over any state-law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

7. Accordingly, this action is removable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441, and 1446.

8. The state court in which this action was commenced is within this Court's district and division.

9. Copies of all process, pleadings, and orders received by Governor Sanford are attached hereto.

10. A copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court of South Carolina and served on all adverse parties, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

11. The consent of the State of South Carolina is not required for removal of this action. The relief sought by Plaintiff involves a dispute regarding the meaning of § 1607 of ARRA between Governor Sanford and the South Carolina General Assembly. Both the Governor and the General Assembly constitute part of the State of South Carolina. Thus, the State of South Carolina is not a proper party in this action, is merely a nominal party in this action, and/or was fraudulently joined as a defendant. Governor Sanford need not obtain a consent to removal from a nominal or fraudulently joined co-defendant. *See Brantley v. Vaughan*, 835 F. Supp. 258, 260 n.2 (D.S.C. 1993).

12. By filing this Notice of Removal, Governor Sanford does not waive and hereby expressly reserves the right to assert any defenses available to him.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Mark Sanford, Governor of South Carolina, respectfully gives notice of the removal of this action to this Court.

Respectfully submitted, this the 27th day of May, 2009.

s/ John W. Foster

John W. Foster (D.S.C. Bar No. 868)

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP

1201 Hampton Street, No. 3A

Columbia, SC 29201

Telephone: (803) 744-3400

Telecopier: (803) 765 0081

JFoster@KilpatrickStockton.com

Adam H. Charnes (pro hac vice pending)

Richard D. Dietz (pro hac vice pending)

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP

1001 West Fourth Street

Winston-Salem, NC 27101

Telephone: (336) 607-7300

Telecopier: (336) 607-7500  
ACharnes@KilpatrickStockton.com  
RDietz@KilpatrickStockton.com

A. Stephens Clay (pro hac vice pending)  
William R. Poplin, Jr. (pro hac vice pending)  
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP  
1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800  
Atlanta, GA 30309-4530  
Telephone: (404) 815-6500  
Telecopier: (404) 815-6555  
SClay@KilpatrickStockton.com  
RPoplin@KilpatrickStockton.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff Governor Mark Sanford*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION was served upon the following by hand-delivery:

Richard A. Harpootlian  
Richard A. Harpootlian, P.A.  
1410 Laurel Street  
P.O. Box 1090  
Columbia, SC 29202

The Honorable Henry McMaster  
South Carolina Attorney General  
Rembert Dennis Building  
1000 Assembly Street, Room 519  
Columbia, SC 29201

This the 27th day of May, 2009.

s/ John W. Foster

John W. Foster (D.S.C. Bar No. 868)

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP

1201 Hampton Street, No. 3A

Columbia, SC 29201

Telephone: (803) 744-3400

Telecopier: (803) 765 0081

JFoster@KilpatrickStockton.com

*Attorney for Defendant Governor Mark Sanford*